

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215)

CWP No. 27460 of 2018
Date of Decision : 01.02.2024

Manju

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that petitioner was not being allowed to compete for the post in question and the prayer is that petitioner be treated eligible for the post in question and she be allowed to appear in the interview keeping in view the marks secured by her in the written examination.
2. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court while issuing notice of motion directed that petitioner should be provisionally interviewed and her result should be kept in sealed cover.
3. Learned State counsel submits that as the petitioner had already undergone the complete selection process and had secured 141 marks but she has failed to make the grade as the last selected candidate

in the category in which the petitioner is competing, has secured 158 marks, hence, the present petition has been rendered academic.

4. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to controvert the said.

5. Keeping in view the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same, in case the statement of learned State counsel is incorrect in any manner.

February 1st, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No