

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CWP-21036-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.02.2024

Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (now GMADA)

...Petitioner

VERSUS

The State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Dhami, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 21.10.2019 (Annexure P-5) passed in revision by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Punjab, whereby the respondent-Commission has disposed of the revision petition after modifying the order of the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Ropar, to the extent that 9% interest on the total amount of installments i.e. Rs.7,79,625/- is to be paid for the period from 28.10.2011 to 10.11.2016 by the judgment-debtors to the decree-holders.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for respondent No.2-consumer contends that the order has already been complied with by the petitioner and respondent No.2-consumer has no further grievance.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions.
4. Be that as it may, since the learned counsel for respondent No.2-consumer contends that the order has already been complied with and he has no further claim against the petitioner, present petition is **disposed of has having been rendered infructuous.**
5. Liberty is however granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the above said statement is found to be incorrect.
6. All other civil misc. applications, if any, stands disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.02.2024

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No