

CWP-17196-2023

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(270)

CWP-17196-2023

Date of Decision : September 02, 2024

Mohan Lal and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners are not being given the benefit of part time service which the petitioners had rendered for more than two decades and further that upon attaining the age of superannuation, the petitioners have not been granted the benefit of Old Pension Scheme despite the fact that the claim of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in ***CWP No.2371 of 2010 titled as Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 31.08.2010***, which judgment has already been taken into consideration qua the grant of benefit to the part time employees while passing order in ***CWP No.10238 of 2017 titled as Jeewan Lata vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 10.05.2019***.

**CWP-17196-2023****2**

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has not been able to rebut the fact that the claim of the petitioner is similar to the *Jeewan Lata's case (supra)*.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the question of law raised in the present writ petition has already been decided while passing order in *Jeewan Lata's case (supra)*, the present writ petition is also disposed of in same terms.

September 02, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No