

2024:PHHC:113938



**108+231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-13889-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-27336-2018
Date of Decision : 02-09-2024**

PARMINDER KAURPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shashi K. Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-13889-CWP-2024

This is an application for placing on record copy of letters/relevant record as Annexure P-5 to P-13, and exempt from filing the certified copies of Annexure P-5 to P-13.

In view of the contents mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and Annexure P-5 to P-13 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-27336-2018

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner which he has rendered on *ad hoc* service w.e.f 23.06.1989 to 31.07.1996 has not been considered as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that there is no record of service starting from 1993 onwards till 1996.

Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed their reply. In the reply they have stated that the petitioner has served the department on *ad hoc* basis for a period in question and therefore, in the absence of any such document, no such benefit can be given.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to the CM No.13889 of 2024, certain documents have been brought on record as Annexure P-5 to Annexure P-13, which shows that the petitioner was working for the department for the period in question hence, the petitioner is entitled for the grant of relief.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that by taking into account the Annexures P-5 to Annexure P-13, the State will consider the claim raised by the petitioner and an appropriate decision will be taken within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of copy of this order whether, from documents Annexure P-5 to Annexure P-13, it can be proved that the petitioner discharged the duties from the year 1993 onwards till 1996 as well so as to claim the benefit of the service which the petitioner has rendered on *ad hoc* basis as being claimed in the present petition and in case, it is found that the petitioner has successfully proved with regard to the working during the period in question i.e 1993-1996 appropriate relief will be granted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting claim of the petitioner, which reasons will also be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

Keeping in view of above, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty that in case any order causing prejudice to the petitioner, the petitioner can avail appropriate remedy in accordance with the law.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

02-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
 Whether reportable: YES/NO