



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1 CWP-7366-2021(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 30.05.2024

Mukul AhlawatPetitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another Respondents

2. **CWP No.3182-2021(O&M)**

Rajesh Sharma and othersPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another Respondents

3. **CWP No. 20665 of 2020**

Manoj Suhag and othersPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another Respondents

4. **CWP No.11581 of 2021**

Rohit and othersPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-7366-2021
and CWP-11581-2021.



Mr.S.K.Nehra, Advocate and
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-3182-2021.

Mr.Rajeev Sharma(Raju), Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-20665-2020.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. By this common order, four writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided, as all these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts. For deciding the petitions, facts are being taken from CWP-7366-2021.

2. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner(s) that they should be allowed to continue in service till the work of the post on which they are working, exists. The further prayer of the petitioners is that even if the work load is reduced, some reasonable criteria should be fixed such as date of appointment, the nature of work and the capability of an employee concerned for grant of extension, which factors are not being taken into account by the respondents while granting the extension.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that as of now, all the petitioners have already been allowed to continue till 31.12.2024 and thereafter, also keeping in view the necessity of the work, the petitioner(s) be allowed to continue in service subject to their satisfactory work and conduct.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case, the work of their post on which the petitioner(s) are working exists, they would be allowed to continue in service subject to their satisfactory work and conduct and even if the work load is reduced, appropriate criteria will be framed so as to grant or deny the extension. Learned counsel further submits that criteria framed will be rational so that no one is caused prejudice so as to grant the extension or deny the same by pick and choose method.



- 5

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance survives and all the present petitions be disposed of.
6.

Ordered accordingly.
7.

A photocopy of the order be placed on the files of other connected writ petitions.

30.05.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No