



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M No.37343 of 2024
Date of Decision: 08.08.2024

Sandeep Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Gurbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
74	02.03.2024	City Barnala, District Barnala	379B of IPC (411 of IPC was added later on)

2. Brief facts of the case relevant for the purpose of disposal of the present petition are that the aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of statement recorded by the complainant Yugesh Bansal alias Noni alleging therein that on 01.03.2024 at about 7:30 PM, while he was on his way to home from his work place and was going on foot, then he was

2024:PHHC:102286



intercepted by a youth who came from behind on a motorbike and while snatching his mobile phone, fled away at once. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. The petitioner was arrested on 03.03.2024. The investigation has since been completed and challan stands presented in the Court.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He was not named in the FIR. Investigation has completed. His custodial interrogation is not required. Trial is likely to take time. He is suffering from several ailments. No useful purpose would be served by his further detention. He does not have any criminal antecedents. Therefore, it is urged that the petition deserves to be allowed.

4. Learned State counsel who has advance notice of the petition is ready to argue the matter and has contended that the keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner, he does not deserve to be released on bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel at considerable length and have gone through the record.

6. The petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2024. No other criminal case is registered against him. Trial is likely to take time. He has placed on record copies of certain documents which shows that he is suffering from some serious ailments. His further detention is not going to serve any useful purpose. As such, I am of the considered opinion that the petition deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered

2024:PHHC:102286



to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

7. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

08.08.2024
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No