



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(126)

CWP No. 18471-2024
Date of Decision : 05.08.2024

Joginder Pal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petitioners, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the services of the petitioners rendered in the Government Aided Schools be taken into consideration as qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of this Court in CWP No. 14238 of 1991 titled as *Sukhdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, decided on 10.03.2010 but the benefit of the same is not being given to the petitioners and that too without any valid justification.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 31.05.2024 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 31.05.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to them.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 05, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No