

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CRM-M-34731 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION :- 02.04.2024

Chanda Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgil, Advocate has filed vakalatnama for respondent No. 2. The same be taken on record.
2. Faced with the situation that 6 witnesses out of total cited 18 witnesses have been examined, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage and seeks permission to withdraw the same with liberty to take alternative pleas at relevant stage.
3. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is a lady aged about 39 years and is required to take care of her two minor children, the petitioner is granted exemption from personal appearance before the trial Court subject to the following conditions: -

- (i) she will be represented by a counsel;
- (ii) she will not delay/stall the proceedings of the trial Court;
- (iii) she will not dispute her identity as accused;
- (iv) she will have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in her absence but in presence of her counsel;

(v) she will appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court and any other condition which the trial Court may impose.

The petitioner shall file an affidavit before the concerned trial Court stipulating above conditions.

4. The main petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above said direction(s).

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

02.04.2024
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No