

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.3762 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Order:29.04.2024

Paramjit Kaur

.Petitioner

Versus

M/s Jindal Tyres and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The petitioner before this Court is a defendant in a suit for recovery of Rs.5,42,410/-. During the pendency of the suit, an application under Order 38 Rule 5 CPC was filed for attachment of the defendants's property. The court has directed the defendants to furnish security of immovable property in the sum of Rs.5,50,000/-.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that there was no prayer for directing the defendants to furnish security of immovable property. He submits that the court on its own has passed the order without any prayer.

3. This court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

4. The Court is entitled to pass interlocutory order in the interest of justice. The plaintiffs had sought the attachment of the petitioner's property. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the court has only directed the petitioner to furnish security of immovable property of Rs.5,50,000/-. Hence, there is no substance in the argument of the learned

counsel representing the petitioner.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.
6. Dismissed.
7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

April 29, 2024

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:YES/NO

:YES/NO