

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

CWP-28728-2017

Date of decision: 01.05.2024

M/S GEETA OIL MILLS C/O PAKHOWAL BALL BEARING

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP LTD & OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Ankush Bharti, Advocate for
Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2-PSPCL.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present is to the order dated 03.11.2017 passed by respondent No.3-Ombudsman Electricity, Punjab and also to the order dated 03.07.2017 passed by Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum directing the petitioner to deposit the demand as raised by the respondent-distribution licensee due to revision of the bill on account of noticing the error of applying wrong multiplication factor.

The contention of the petitioner is to the fact that by virtue of Section 56 of the Electricity Act, 2003, the demand could not have been raised for the entire duration when the wrong multiplication factor was applied and that the same could be charged only with effect from

the period of 06 months prior to the detection of the error in application of a wrong multiplication factor and that there was no fault on the part of the petitioner in the bills being raised by the respondent-distribution licensee by application of a wrong multiplication factor. The issue has already been dealt by this Court in *CWP-5877-2019* titled as “*Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others versus M/s Shree Durga Spinning Mill and another*”

The present writ petition is accordingly dismissed in view of the judgment rendered by this Court in *CWP-5877-2019 titled as “Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others versus M/s Shree Durga Spinning Mill and another”* (Supra).

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MAY 01, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No