



CRM-M-36723-2024 (O&amp;M)

1

243 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36723-2024 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 03.09.2024

MEENU BALA

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. S.K. Raghuvanshi, Advcate  
for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.51 dated 18.05.2015 under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, in view of settlement/agreement entered into between the parties in the petition Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage, 1955 dated 30.11.2018 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 02.08.2024:

*“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.51 dated 18.05.2015 under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, in view of settlement/agreement entered into between the parties in the petition Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage, 1955 dated 30.11.2018 (Annexure P-2).*



*Notice of motion for 03.09.2024.*

*At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. S.K. Raghuvanshi, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Memorandum of Appearance, which is taken on record. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama in the Registry before the next date of hearing. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.*

*Service is complete.*

*In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.51 dated 18.05.2015 under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and

CRM-M-36723-2024 (O&M)3

all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

03.09.2024  
Ajay Goswami

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |