



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(110)

**CWP No.28715 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.05.2024**

Om Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Saurabh Mago, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

 Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate for respondent No.6.

 Mr. J.S. Saini, Advocate for respondent No.7.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition.
2. Leave granted.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, but reserving liberty to the aggrieved to avail the remedy of appealing against the impugned Annexure P-9 through the institution of an apposite statutory appeal before the learned Divisional Commissioner concerned. If the said appeal is time-barred, thereupon an application cast under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, thus be appended with the said purported time-barred appeal. On the said application, lawful orders be passed by the learned Appellate Authority and,



subsequently, within a period of four months thereafter, a valid speaking decision be made on the said statutory appeal but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

MAY 08, 2024
d.gulati

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No