



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CWP-2698-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.05.2024

SREEJITH S

....PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Kumar, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. On 04.05.2022, the following order was passed:

*“There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.**In the interest of justice, adjourned to 09.12.2022.**Let the counsel for the petitioner be intimated about the next date of hearing”.*

2. Again on 09.12.2022, the following order was passed:

*“There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, despite the intimation qua the next date of hearing has been given to him.**In the interest of justice, adjourned to 17.08.2023.**It is clarified that no further adjournment shall be granted on the next date of hearing for any reason whatsoever.”*

3. Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that with the afflux of time, the petitioner has lost his interest to pursue the matter.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

08.05.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No