



214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38873-2023

Date of decision: 10.05.2024

SARABJEET SINGH MANKU AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ritesh Tomar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.26 dated 13.03.2022 under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 03.03.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 09.04.2024 :-

“Mr. Kanav Goyal, Advocate for Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 and files his memo of appearance and seeks some time for filing power of attorney. Learned counsel, however, admits the factum of compromise.

Service is complete.

In the meantime, Parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within a period of two weeks or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is



directed to send report regarding the genuineness of the compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 10.05.2024.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.26 dated 13.03.2022 under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

May 10, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No