



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7394-2024

Date of Decision : **02.08.2024**

ANGREJ

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Anita Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

2. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

3. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the instant petition, however, with liberty reserved to the petitioner to recourse the alternative available

statutory remedy(es) before the appropriate authority/forum concerned,
for redressal of his grievance.

4. **Dismissed as withdrawn** with the aforesaid liberty.

August 02, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No