



CR-4259-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-4259-2024
Date of decision : 05.08.2024

Anil Kumar @ Anil Gupta

... Petitioner

Versus

Sukhjit Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is the Civil Revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting side the order dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Rent Controller, Amritsar, whereby the application for amendment of petition (Annexure P-3) filed by the respondent has been allowed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the only grievance of the petitioner is with respect to the fact that earlier an application seeking leave to defend was filed by the petitioner with respect to the original petition filed under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 taking into consideration the provision of Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949. It is submitted that since subsequently by virtue of the impugned order, the



CR-4259-2024

2

respondent-landlord has been granted liberty to amend his petition so as to bring the same under Section 24 of the Punjab Rent Act, 1995, the petitioner is also entitled to move an application for amendment of the leave to defend and raise additional pleas in view of the provisions of Section 24 of the Punjab Rent Act, 1995. It is prayed that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file the said application before the Rent Controller.

3. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file the said application before the Rent Controller within a period of one week from today. In case, the petitioner files such an application within a period of one week from today, then the Rent Controller would consider the same, in accordance with law.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits and maintainability of such an application filed and the same would be considered by the Rent Controller independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

August 05, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No