

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 38806 of 2023 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 06.03.2024**

Satnam Singh @ Satta and others

..... Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Rajehwar Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharath Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab  
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. H.S. Gharoo, Advocate,  
for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioners, by way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks quashing of FIR No. 170 dated 08.11.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 307, 452, 380, 427, 148 & 149 of IPC read with Sections 25, 54 & 59 of the Arms Act [offences under Sections 307, 452, 427, 148 & 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 54 & 59 of Arms Act deleted vide Rapat No. 23 dated 02.03.2021 and the offences under Sections 380/34 of IPC added, vide DDR No. 25 dated 21.08.2022 (the offences under Sections 454/201 of IPC added later on)], registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Police District Batala; further quashing of challan dated 29.03.2023 under Sections 380/454/201 read with Section 34 of IPC, alongwith all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise (Annexure

P-5).

[2] This Court, while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 08.08.2023, directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the validity of compromise.

[3] In pursuance of above order dated 08.08.2023, a report dated 09.10.2023 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. No accused has been declared as proclaimed offender.

[4] Thus once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent Nos. 2 & 3 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR *qua* the petitioners. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the present circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

[5] The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of***

***Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

[6] Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the petition is **allowed** and the FIR (supra) as well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners.

[7] The aforesaid order shall, however, be subject to payment of costs of Rs. 20,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners with the Poor Patients' Welfare Fund of the PGIMER, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

**March 06, 2024**  
'dk kamra'

**( HARKESH MANUJA )**  
**JUDGE**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |