



235

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26764-2018

Date of decision: 23.07.2024

RADHEY SHYAM

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Nitin Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.


Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No.4.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the present writ petition is for counting the daily wages service of the petitioner from 22.09.1990 to 30.09.2003 towards pension and also to pay the revised pension and pensionary benefits to the petitioner.

2. Learned State counsel submitted that short reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed in the present case. However, the same has not been attached along with the present petition but during the course of hearing, he has supplied a photocopy of the aforesaid short reply, which is taken on record. While referring to paras No.5 and 6 of the aforesaid short reply, he submitted that the petitioner has already been granted the benefit of counting of daily wages service and even amount has already been paid to him.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has not been able to seek instructions from the petitioner but in view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present writ petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to seek instructions from the petitioner, in case the aforesaid amount is not paid to the petitioner, then he shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of the present writ petition.

23.07.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No