

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.107

LPA-1697-2023

Date of decision : 12.01.2024

Vipin Jangra

..... Appellant

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr.Kulvir Narwal, Advocate, for the appellant.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present intra court appeal originates from the judgment dated 04.07.2023 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court dismissing the appellant's writ petition filed by him through which he had sought release of payment which according to him was due to be paid to him by the Municipal Committee, Bawal (for short, the M.C.).

2. The learned Single Judge has dismissed the appellant's petition after noticing that the claim made by the appellant involved disputed facts which could not be adjudicated upon in the exercise of writ jurisdiction.

3. After hearing the learned counsel for the appellant and perusing the record we also find that part of the amount claimed by the appellant has been paid to him by the M.C. and the remaining amount is disputed on the ground that the work for which the claim has been made by the appellant has not been executed by him.

4. In the light of the afore dispute, the learned Single Judge has rightly not exercised its writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. Dismissed.

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

12.01.2024
shamsher

[DEEPAK MANCHANDA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No