



CRWP-7307-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.218

CRWP-7307-2024
Date of decision : 06.08.2024

Latta Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Dipanshu Kapur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to grant parole to the petitioner for a period of 08 weeks for undergoing medical treatment in PGIMER, Chandigarh.

2. In compliance to the order dated 01.08.2024, learned State counsel has filed medical report of the petitioner, the relevant part of the report reads as under:-

“5. That the said prisoner patient was referred to Civil hospital, Ambala City for PAC on dated 22.09.2023, as per Pre-Anaesthetic record it was mentioned that patient advice Physician opinion for ECG and further management, patient is known case of CAD. Advisable to take up At tertiary Care Centre in view of IHD (Ishaemic Heart Disease).



6. That the said prisoner patient was referred to Civil Hospital, Ambala City to Surgery department on dated 14.10.2023, where the said prisoner patient was referred to Higher institute.

Xxx xxx xxx

10. That the said prisoner patient had been complaining of pain in lumbar region and difficulty in walking, for which he was referred to Civil Hospital Ambala City to orthopaedics department on dated 27.07.2024 in emergency, where x-ray was advised and oral medicines were prescribed by the treating consultant.”

3. Learned State counsel however submits that the petitioner is undergoing proper treatment and is not entitled for grant of medical parole.

4. Heard.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner who is 68 years old and a perusal of the medical report it can be seen that the petitioner is suffering from medical ailments and is also undergoing treatments in various hospitals and has also been referred from Civil Hospital, Ambala to PGIMER, Chandigarh, therefore, in the interest of justice, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the concerned authorities to release the petitioner on parole.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The petitioner be released on parole for a period of 03 weeks from the date of his release subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate concerned with an undertaking that immediately on expiry of the

aforesaid period, he shall re-step into the prison concerned.



CRWP-7307-2024

7. If the above condition is breached and the petitioner does not re-step into the prison concerned, immediately on the expiry of 03 weeks, thereupon, liberty is reserved to the jurisdictional SHO concerned, to forthwith arrest the petitioner and to thereafter produce him before the jurisdictional Magistrate concerned, for the latter making an order for the petitioner becoming committed to the jail concerned.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

06.08.2024
Kapil

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No