



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7283-2024

Date of Decision:- 02.08.2024

HIMANSHU ATWAL

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Parampreet Singh Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition for habeas corpus and for appointment of Warrant Officer with a roving writ to search for the detainee Naveen Sabarwal and Mukul Atwal at the premises of Police Station Jalandhar Cantt. or any other place pointed out by petitioner.
2. In compliance to previous order 29.07.2024, report has been received from Warrant Officer in a sealed cover. As per the report of Warrant Officer, he visited Police Station, Jalandhar Cantt. at 12.30 am where ASI Rajender Singh was present. Alleged detainee Naveen Sabarwal and Mukul Atwal were not found present in the Police Station. Statement of ASI Rajender Singh was recorded which is Annexure R-1. Petitioner did not want further search of the alleged detainee.
3. Today in view of the aforesaid report, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he does not want to pursue present petition i.e. writ in the nature of habeas corpus and withdraws the same.



4. In view of the statement, petition stands dismissed as withdrawn having rendered infructuous.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

02.08.2024
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No