IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CWP-28438-2017(O&M)
Date of decision : 20.05.2024

Lakshmi Kant

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Saksham Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Himanshu Malik, Senior Panel Counsel-UOI.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate and
Ms.Urvashi Singh, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the decision taken by respondent no.2 in its meeting held on 26.07.2016 (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.2 has pointed out that the petitioner was given 8 years to complete the course and was further given two mercy chances, which the petitioner had never replied to and thus, in view of the same, nothing survives in the petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in spite of

Davinder Kumar
2024.05.22 14:29
I attest the accuracy and
authenticity of this order /
judgment

his best efforts, he has not been able to get in touch with the petitioner and thus, the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case, any cause survives.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case, any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 20, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

Davinder Kumar
2024.05.22 14:29
I attest the accuracy and
authenticity of this order /
judgment