

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-28395-2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 13.02.2024

Deepak Sharma and others
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Jangvir Singh Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly points out that neither the petitioners nor anybody on their behalf have contacted his office for the past several years. Therefore, he expresses his inability to apprise the Court of the current situation at site.

Upon being pointedly asked, if the petitioner was maintaining the accounts in compliance to the order dated December 20, 2017, again he expressses his inability due to lack of instructions.

That being so, the Court is choice-less but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution. However, in case any dispute, cause of action or interest still survives, the petitioners would be at liberty to move appropriate application for restoration of this petition and its decision on merits.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

13.02.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO