



116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CWP-18320-2024 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 05-08-2024****CHADNER KANTA****.....Petitioner****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Arishdeep Mraad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that she is specially-abled person and keeping in view her medical health, she needs to use the urinal frequently.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the urinal which has been provided is at the distance of 900 feet and therefore, a urinal at a close distance be provided by the Institution so that the petitioner is able to use the same as and when required.

Keeping in view the advance copy given, the respondents have appeared and stated that an appropriate order on the request of petitioner has already been passed wherein it has been mentioned that under the supervision of the petitioner, a urinal has been renovated so that, the petitioner is able to use the same as and when required. It is denied that the

urinal is about 900 feet whereas as per the respondents, it is 80 feet from the place where the petitioner discharge her duties.

Learned State counsel submits that in order to provide assistance to the petitioner, a wheel chair has already been ordered to be stationed near the working place of the petitioner so that in case she cannot walk upto the urinal, she can use the wheel chair and an Attendant of the library has already been directed to assist the petitioner as and when she requires to use the wheel chair.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be provided urinal at the closest place as, she will have to be dependant on the attendant.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have through the records of the present case with their able assistance.

Keeping in view the infrastructure which a school has, the same needs to be best utilised so that, the same is user-friendly even for the specially-abled persons. As per the respondents, the urinal has been renovated under the supervision of the petitioner so that, she can use the same without any hindrance. In order to provide the help, it has already been undertaken to provide a wheel chair, which facility will be made available to the petitioner within a period of next 3 days. Further, the Lady Attendant of the school has already been requested to assist the petitioner to operate the wheel chair upto the place of working and the urinal. All these facilities, as of now, are good enough to take care of the prejudice being shown by the petitioner.

Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner has conceded that a ramp has already been constructed to facilitate the working of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances mentioned hereinabove, no further orders are required to be passed. Resultantly, the present petition is disposed of.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed off.

05-08-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO