

2024:PHHC:112136



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRWP-7346-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.08.2024

Raj Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Arjun Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. CRM-W-1086-2024


Prayer in this application is for making correction/modification in the head note of the main petition as respondent Nos. 4 to 7 have been mentioned as in-laws of the petitioner, whereas they are her neighbours.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. The amended head note is taken on record.

2. CRWP-7346-2024 (O&M)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

3. Status report has been filed by the respondent-State. It is submitted therein and learned State counsel has argued that on receipt of a complaint from the petitioner against the private respondents, a detailed



inquiry was conducted by the Incharge Police Post Mavi Kalan, wherein both the parties were called and their grievances were heard. It came to notice that earlier also, the petitioner had filed a complaint on 18.03.2024 and therefore, inquiry was conducted on both the applications. In the inquiry so conducted, it was concluded that both the parties with the intervention of the respectable persons of the area have compromised the matter and they do not want to proceed with the matter. Hence, on the recommendation of the inquiry officer, the complaints of the petitioner were filed. Learned State counsel has submitted that still a *calendra* has been registered against both the parties.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the factual assertions and has submitted that the present petition may be disposed of.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. However, the petitioner is granted liberty to file a fresh petition, in case she feels any threat to her life and liberty in future.

29.08.2024

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Waseem Ansari

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No