

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-38278-2023(O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.04.2024

PARGAT SINGH
STATE OF HARYANA

....Petitioner
VERSUS
....Respondent

CORAM : HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Umesh Pandey, Advocate for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C.
seeking anticipatory bail in FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
090	19.05.2023	P.S. Baragudha, District Sirsa	323, 354, 452, 354-B of IPC

2. Vide order dated 03.11.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner was released on interim bail and was directed to join investigation.

3. Learned State counsel filed status report dated 09.04.2024. The same is taken on record. The petitioner has joined the investigation on 29.03.2024, though, vide order dated 03.11.2023, direction was given to the petitioner to join the investigation within one week. However, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had gone to join the investigation with Investigating Officer but was not so joined. Learned State counsel is silent on this point. In view of this fact, it cannot be stated that it was the petitioner who did not comply with the order dated

03.11.2023. Further, his custodial interrogation is no more required. No useful purpose will be served by detaining him in custody. In view of the said facts but without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 03.11.2023 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

15.04.2024
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |