



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

907

CWP-20042-2009
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

Somvir Singh ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar and Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocates
for respondent Nos.3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 to 12.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition is to the fixation of seniority of the petitioner as Junior Engineer by claiming he was senior to the private respondents.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the private respondents submits that during the interregnum, the petitioner as also the private respondents have retired as such the prayer, might not even be surviving.
3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case need arises.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

30.09.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No