



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37208-2024
Date of Decision:29.08.2024

Hemant Kumar and Anr. ...Petitioners

vs.

U.T., Chandigarh and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ankush Dhanerwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor with
Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. Public Prosecutor
for U.T., Chandigarh.

Ms. Ridhi Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Vishal Sharda, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

- 1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of an FIR No. 158 dated 21.09.2023 under Sections 406,420,120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Central Sector-17, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.
- 2. A short reply has been filed by respondent No.2 and the same is taken on record.
- 3. In Para No.4 of the reply, respondent No.2 has made the following averments:-

“That pursuant to the lodging of present case FIR, the petitioners approached the officials of answering respondent No.2 and have

entered into a settlement agreement with respondents and agreed to pay the outstanding dues. Subsequently, the entire dues of Rs.51,78,285/- stood paid and No Objection Certificate was issued in favour of the petitioners on dated 30.01.2024. Therefore, in light of these facts, respondent No.2 has no objection to the instant petition.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 submits that since the account has already been settled with respondent No.2, she has no objection in case, the present FIR has been quashed by this Court.

5. Learned State counsel also submits that the parties have entered into a settlement/agreement with regard to the amount of loan and had no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

7. The fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioners.

8. Resultantly, FIR No. 158 dated 21.09.2023 under Sections 406,420,120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Central Sector-17, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

29.08.2024
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No