



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 18386 of 2024
Date of Decision : 05.08.2024

Hardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitin Mittoo, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate for respondent No. 2-University.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that he was suspended from service on 29.06.2017 and though a period of seven years have passed but the order is not being reviewed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was arrested in connection with the FIR No. 121 dated 17.11.2016 registered against the petitioner with the allegations that he had taken money to provide the job to the aspirants and as the trial is still pending and is likely to take some time before it concludes, the petitioner should be reinstated by reconsidering the order of suspension.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the said prayer, the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-11) to the Punjabi University, Patiala and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to



decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1-State and Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 2-University. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that in case, the representation dated 13.06.2024 (Annexure P-11) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to him.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

August 05, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No