

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CWP-26363-2018

Date of Decision : 25.01.2024

Gurmeet Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Registrar, Cooperative Societies and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Raman Kaplish, Advocate with
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.6.

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate for respondents No.7 and 8.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of enquiry report dated 17.09.2018 (Annexure P-14) conducted by Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Samrala, District Ludhiana.

2. In terms of last order dated 12.12.2023 passed by this Court, an affidavit dated 18.01.2024 of Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Samrala, District Ludhiana, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, has been filed in the Court which is taken on record. In the said affidavit, it has been stated as under :-

“2. That is is humbly submitted that on the last date of hearing i.e. 04.05.2023 it was submitted that petitioner

No. 1 Sh Gurmit Singh had resigned from the job and thus the question with regard to the illegality qua his appointment no more survives as the petitioner No. 1 has resigned, therefore, is no more alive hence the present writ petition had been rendered infructuous qua petitioner No. 1.

3. That the deponent states that the deponent had been informed by the society that petitioner No. 2 is Baljit Singh has also retired after attaining the age of superannuation on 31.10.2017. The only dispute regarding the taking over charge from petitioner No. 2 and handing over to respondent No. 6 Sh Karam Pal now also does not survive. Hence the petition qua petitioner No. 2 had also been rendered infructuous.”

3. Since petitioner No.1 has already resigned from service and petitioner No.2 has retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.10.2017 and they are no longer in service, therefore, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

25.01.2024
Kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No