



203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7736-2015
Date of Decision: 08.11.2024

Raj Kumar ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for respondent No.2.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of seniority of the petitioner w.e.f. his initial appointment for the post of EPD along with all consequential benefits.

2. Case has been called twice but none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. On the last three consecutive dates of hearing, position was the same. On the last date i.e. 14.05.2024 the following order was passed:-

“This matter is pending since 2015.

No one appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 13.07.2023 and even on the last date of hearing also.

An adjournment slip has been circulated on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, on the ground that he had to go out of station.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 08.11.2024.

It is made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted on the next date of hearing.”

3. It seems that petitioner is not interested to pursue the petition. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

08.11.2024

Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**