

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

295

CRM-M-40353-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 02.12.2024

LAKHMI CHAND AND OTHERS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Manish Dhankar, Advocate for
Mr. Paramjeet Phor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Aniket Rao, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 24.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
353	26.09.2020	427, 506 and 34 IPC	Sadar Kanina, District Mahendergarh

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 24.06.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kanina vide report dated 03.10.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance to the order dated 21.08.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kanina and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.353 dated 26.09.2020 under Sections 427, 506 and 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Kanina, District Mahendergarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.12.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No