



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36863-2024
Date of decision: 28.08.2024

NAVJOT KAUR

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Aman Tanwar, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Damanjit Singh Sandhu, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C., 1973, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.07.2020 and 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-2 and Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
57	12.06.2020	406, 420 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014.	Phase-8, District S.A.S. Nagar.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 29.07.2020 and 19.06.2024 (Annexure P-2 and Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the



CRM-M-36863-2024

2

compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, SAS Nagar, vide report dated 23.08.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner has also deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 02.08.2024.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, S.A.S. Nagar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since respondents No. 2 and 3 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 57 dated 12.06.2020 under Sections 406, 420 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation) Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Phase-8, District S.A.S. Nagar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

28.08.2024
Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |