



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36764-2024

Date of decision: 06.09.2024

MANJEET SINGH AND ORS

....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Sunny Tyagi, Advocate for the petitioners
(through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.


Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Explanation received from learned trial Court through District and Sessions Judge, Bhiwani. The persual thereof would reveal that the requisite report had been sent through e-mail by the Office of District and Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, vide letter No.11710/G dated 21.08.2024. Copy of Dispatch Register has also been annexed along with report. The explanation submitted by the concerned Court is accepted.

2. Considering the contents of the report, it appears that there was negligence on the part of the Officials in the Registry, who are dealing with the matter, in not putting the report before this Court on the date fixed i.e. 27.08.2024, leading this Court to seek explanation from learned trial Court. Since prima facie fault appears to be with the concerned official dealing with the matter in the Registry, Registrar General of this Court is directed to conduct appropriate inquiry in accordance with law and submit report to this Court.

3. Report of learned trial Court perused.



CRM-M-36764-2024

2


4. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., petitioners seek quashing of FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
21	19.01.2024	406, 420 of IPC	Tosham, District Bhiwani.

5. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 18.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Tosham, vide report dated 16.08.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 01.08.2024.

6. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

7. In view of the report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Tosham, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since respondent No.2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.



CRM-M-36764-2024

3

8. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 21 dated 19.01.2024 under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

06.09.2024
Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |