

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 06-08-2024

.....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR

.....Respondent(s)

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner in the present petition is covered by the judgment of this Court in CWP No.10759 of 1990 titled “ ***Rajender Paul Gautam and ors. Vs. State of Punjab and ors.***”, and the respondents be directed to pass an appropriate speaking order with regard to the fact as to whether any benefit is to be released to the petitioner in view of the said judgment.

Learned State counsel submits that appropriate on the claim of the petitioner for grant of higher pay scale will be considered in light of the judgment in ***Rajender Paul Gautam's case (supra)***, and in case it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any benefits, the same will be released otherwise due reasons otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not

accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed off.

06-08-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO