

CWP-6585-2016

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-6585-2016
Date of decision: 03.04.2024

HARMINDER SINGH
Vs.
UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS
...PETITIONER
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Thiara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondent-Union of India.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to correct his pay as his juniors are getting more salary than him.
2. Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel, at the outset submits that petitioner is relying upon communications which took place prior to office order dated 17.09.2015 (Annexure R-2). By order dated 17.09.2015, the competent authority has corrected pay of the petitioner and it is re-fixed w.e.f. 08.07.1994. Nevertheless, if the petitioner points out salary of any junior higher than his salary, the competent authority would look into his grievance.
3. Mr. H.S. Thiara, Advocate agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.
4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to point out salary of any of his junior which is higher than his salary within four weeks. If he is able to point out the

CWP-6585-2016

-2-

said fact, the competent authority would look into the matter and address his grievance within 8 weeks thereafter.

03.04.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No