



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

134

CRWP-7267-2024

Date of decision: 30.07.2024

Des Raj and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Nishant Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 13.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.5, who is the son of petitioner No.1, got married with petitioner No.6, who is the daughter of respondent No.4, on 12.06.2024, against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 13. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 24.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to look into their representation dated



CRWP-7267-2024

24.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to look into the representation dated 24.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between petitioner No.5 and petitioner No.6 and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

30.07.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No