

SUNIL KUMAR

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Petitioner

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Amit Khatkar, Advocate respondent Nos. 4,5,6 & 7.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for appointment as a Junior Coach Basket Ball, which benefit has not been extended to him.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that keeping in view the order passed on 29.01.2024, the petitioner has already been selected for the post in question and recommendations have already been made by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to the Administrative Department qua the said aspect.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Administrative Department be directed to comply with the said recommendation and give appointment to the petitioner in accordance with the law.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that recommendations of the Haryana Staff Selection Commission dated 13.03.2024 will be complied with, within a period of 04 weeks from today and appropriate relief for which the petitioner is entitled for will be extended to him.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

14.03.2024
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No