

**215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Neutral Citation No. 2024:PHHC:053607
CRM-M-37931-2023
Date of Decision: April 22, 2024

Jagdeep Singh alias Jaggi **...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab **...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel has placed on record the copy of FSL report in order to contend that voice sample in the pen drive, was found to be that of petitioner – Jagdeep Singh @ Jaggi.

2. By way of this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0200 dated 08.06.2023, under Section 115 read with Section 302 and Section 120-B of IPC (Section 302 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sohana, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

3. As per the allegations, petitioner – Jagdeep Singh @ Jaggi alongwith co-accused Sukhpreet Singh @ Goldi, Dilbar Khan and Rahul @ Billu was found to be conniving with each other and planning to eliminate one Harpreet Singh @ Pathi on account of some land dispute between the petitioner and Harpreet Singh @ Pathia. It is also alleged that their conversation was recorded on the mobile of the petitioner, wherein Rahul is asking for 20% of the total amount to be paid in advance.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated; that no murder ever took place; that the petitioner has joined the investigation and except for his alleged voice sample, there is no evidence against him.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, confirms the fact that it is only the case of conspiracy to commit the murder and in fact, no murder had ever taken place. It is also conceded by learned State counsel that except for the voice sample, there is no evidence against the petitioner. Learned State counsel has also informed that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 02.02.2024 and he is not required for further investigation.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances as the petitioner has already joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, present petition is accepted. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer and co-operate in the same. He will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. .

Disposed of.

April 22, 2024
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No