

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-25645-2018
Date of Decision: 23.01.2024

Harsh Gupta and others ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Ravi Verma, Advocate for respondent no.4

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to fix the petitioners' pay as they were already getting prior to foregoing promotion, as has been done in the case of private respondent no.4.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition since the petitioners are not entitled to the relief claimed in view of judgment dated 05.09.2017 passed by this Court in CWP-9389-2013 and other connected petitions titled *Surinder Kumari and others v. State of Haryana and another*, Annexure R-5. He, however, contends that the petitioners may be permitted to pursue their case

for grant of benefit in terms of Rule 14 of Haryana Civil Services (Assured

Career Progression) Rules, 2016, as their cases were called by the Department vide letter dated 07.05.2018, Annexure P-10, and final decision whereupon has not been taken so far.

3. In view thereof, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn granting liberty to the petitioners to pursue their case for grant of benefit in terms of Rule 14 of the 2016 Rules with the respondents.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

23.01.2024
Payal

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>