



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18441-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.08.2024

Baljit Singh and others
... Petitioners
Versus
Union of India and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra “Sirsa”, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Rohit Verma, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A mandamus is prayed for, commanding Station Commander, Bathinda Military Station, Bathinda (respondent No.3), to issue regular letters of allotment of Regimental Shops that have been allotted to the petitioners, pursuant to auction notice dated February 14, 2021 (P-5).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that upon being adjudged the highest bidders, petitioners have carried out the necessary formalities and the amount as regards security and maintenance have also been remitted, though formal letters of allotment have not been issued so far. It is submitted that prior to the institution of this petition, petitioners had even served the respondent-authorities with a legal notice dated July 2, 2024 (P-8) as regards their concerns/grievances, but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Rohit Verma, learned Senior Panel Counsel, is present in Court on behalf of the respondents. He, on instructions, submits that even though petitioners No.1 & 2 were declared to be the highest bidders, but since they lacked eligibility conditions or were found ineligible, a formal communication as regards rejection of their claim has since been issued. As regards Roshan Singh (petitioner No.3), he submits, the matter is under active consideration of the competent authority



and a decision in this regard would be reached at the earliest. And petitioner No.3 would be informed accordingly.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. However, he submits that as the matter is pending for a considerable time, the competent authority be directed to take a decision within a specified time.

To which, learned counsel for the respondents submits that necessary orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of. This Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall consider the matter in the right earnest, and pass the necessary orders.

However, in case, petitioners No.1 & 2 are aggrieved, in any manner, as regards rejection of their claim, as submitted by learned counsel for the respondents, they shall have a liberty to avail such other remedies as shall be admissible in law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

05.08.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO