



CWP-22092-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06-09-2024

.....Petitioner(s)

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the University is that the University is not a party but certain directions have been given by the Information Commission with regard to the threat perception to the complainant and therefore, without being the petitioner party before the Information Commission, no observation could have been made against the commission.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant-complainant submits that he has no objection in case the observations of the State Information Commission giving any direction or making any observation against the University are deleted.

Keeping in view of the above, all the three orders dated 18.06.2019 (Annexures P-2 to P-4) making observations and giving directions to the University will be treated as being set aside as agreed between the parties.

Present petition is disposed of accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

06-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO