



CRWP-7391-2024

-1-

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7391-2024

Date of Decision:02.08.2024

Chandrakala Sharma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Harjinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondents No.4 and 5 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made to direct respondents no.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondents No.4 and 5 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate as well as photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 25.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent no.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.



CRWP-7391-2024

-2-

4. Mr.Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

02.08.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No