



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

159

**COCP-2527-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.10.2024**

SUKHWINDER SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

VISHESH SARANGAL

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Gursahib Singh Hundal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Additional AG, Punjab.

Ms. Ritika Arora, DTP, Gurdaspur (through VC).

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(Oral)

Compliance report by way of affidavit dated 21.10.2024
of Mr. Uma Shanker Gupta, Deputy Commissioner has been filed in
Court today, which is taken on record.

2. Ms. Ritika Arora, who has joined through VC submits
that the pending payments due towards the petitioner shall be released
with a period of one month from today.

3. In view of the aforesaid undertaking, learned counsel for
the petitioner does not press the present petition.

4. Dismissed as not pressed.

5. Rule stands discharged.



COC-2527-2024 :2:

6. However, in case the needful is not done within the
aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at
liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality,
the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay Rs.50,000/- as costs
from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation
expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival
application.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

28.10.2024
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No