



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CWP-8948 of 2013

Date of Decision:10.05.2024

Kewal Kanta

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr.Dhruv Walia, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel),
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel on instructions from Gurwinder Singh, Clerk, office of Block Primary Education Office, Pakhowal, Ludhiana, submits that the petitioner has since passed away on 04.09.2019 and her son had already expired on 05.07.2017 and there are no other surviving members in their family. He further refers to paragraphs No.2 and 2-A of the affidavit of Jarnail Singh, Block Primary Education Officer, Pakhowal, District Ludhiana, as per which as prayed in the present petition, the special family pension was revised. The said paras read thus:-

“2. That respondent No.4 has revised the Special Family Pension of the petitioner on the basis of the last pay drawn by the late husband of the petitioner and has also revised the special family pension in the pay scale on every revision of pay scale as mention in the letter of respondent No. 4 (P-7). Another letter of respondent No.4 dated 31.05.2012 is annexed as Annexure R-1. As per this letter the special family pension of the petitioner is

revised as column No.2-A reads as under.

2-A. “Special Family Pension @ Rs.2150 w.e.f. 13-7-1991 ad revised w.e.f. 1-1-1996 @ Rs. 5915 and @ 13369/- w.e.f. 1-1-2006 onwards is admissible to Smt. Kewal Kanta w/o Late Shri Lachhman Dass Till death or remarriage whatever is earlier.”

- 2. Nothing survives in the present petition.
- 3. Dismissed.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

May 10, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No