

FAO-5299-2012 (O&M)

1

672

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO-5299-2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 01.04.2024

Rajvir Kaur & Ors. ... Appellant(s)
Versus
Jaspreet Singh & Anr. ... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. M.J.S. Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal has been preferred by the claimant-appellants aggrieved by the quantum of compensation awarded by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Bathinda vide award dated 09.02.2012.
2. Since the facts, as recorded in the impugned award passed by the Tribunal, are not in dispute, the same are not being reproduced herein for the sake of brevity.
3. The Tribunal in the present case had awarded the following compensation :

Sr. No.	Heads	Compensation Awarded
1	Monthly Income	Rs.5,000/-
2	Annual Income	[Rs.5,000x12] = Rs.60,000/-

3	Deduction 1/3 rd	[Rs.60,000-20,000] = Rs.40,000/-
4	Multiplier - 11	[Rs.40,000x11] = Rs.4,40,000/-
5	Loss of estate	Rs.5,000/-
6	Funeral expenses	Rs.5,000/-
7	Loss of consortium	Rs.10,000/-
8	Total Compensation	Rs.4,60,000/-
	Interest	7.5% per annum

4. Learned counsel for the claimant-appellants would contend that though the income of the deceased was rightly assessed as Rs.5,000/- per month and multiplier of ‘11’ was applied correctly, however, deduction of 1/3rd has wrongly been applied by the Tribunal, whereas it ought to have been 1/4th as there were four dependents upon the deceased. It is further the contention of the learned counsel that that no addition has been made towards future prospects which ought to have been 10% keeping in view the age of the deceased being 52 years at the time of the accident and that the amount awarded under the conventional heads as well as under the head ‘loss of consortium’ is also not in accordance with the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. In support of his contentions he has relied upon the judgments of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the cases of **National Insurance Company Ltd. vs. Pranay Sethi & Ors. [(2017) 16 SCC 680]**, **Magma General Insurance Company Limited vs. Nanu Ram alias Chuhru Ram & Ors. [(2018) 18 SCC 130]** and **N. Jayasree & Ors. vs. Chola mandalam M.S General Insurance Company Ltd. [2021(4) RCR**

(Civil) 642]. Learned counsel for the claimant-appellants has pointed out that appellant Nos.3 and 4 (parents of the deceased) have since expired.

5. *Per contra*, the learned counsel for respondent No.1 has vehemently argued that sufficient amount has already been awarded as compensation in the present case and that there is no scope of any enhancement.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. In the present case, the Tribunal has though rightly assessed the income of the deceased as Rs.5,000/- per month and also correctly applied a multiplier of '11', however, deduction of $\frac{1}{3}^{\text{rd}}$ has wrongly been applied which ought to have been $\frac{1}{4}^{\text{th}}$ keeping in view the fact that there were four dependents upon the deceased. Further, no addition has been made towards future prospects and hence as per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Pranay Sethi** (supra), 10% addition is made towards future prospects keeping in view the age of the deceased being 52 years at the time of the accident. Further, the amount awarded under the conventional heads and under the head 'loss of consortium' is not as per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of **Pranay Sethi** (supra), **Magma General Insurance Company Limited** (supra) and **N. Jayasree** (supra) and hence, the claimant-appellants would be entitled to Rs.18,000/- (Rs.15,000+20% increase) towards loss of estate and Rs.18,000/- (Rs.15,000+20% increase) towards funeral expenses and the claimants-appellant Nos.1 and 2 (wife and son of the deceased) would also be entitled

to Rs.48,000/- each (Rs.40,000+20% increase) towards loss of consortium.

Accordingly, the reworked compensation is as under :

Sr. No.	Heads	Compensation Awarded
1	Monthly Income	Rs.5,000/-
2	Annual Income	[Rs.5,000x12] = Rs.60,000/-
3	Deduction 1/4th	[Rs.60,000-15,000] = Rs.45,000/-
4	Future Prospects - 10%	[Rs.45,000+4,500] = Rs.49,500/-
5	Multiplier - 11	[Rs.49,500x11] = Rs.5,44,500/-
6	Loss of estate	Rs.18,000/-
7	Funeral expenses	Rs.18,000/-
8	Loss of consortium	
	(i) Parental	Rs.48,000/-
	(ii) Spousal's	Rs.48,000/-
		(Total Rs.96,000/-)
	Total Compensation	Rs.6,76,500/-

8. The amount in excess of and over and above the amount awarded by the Tribunal shall also attract interest @ 7.5% per annum from the date of filing of the claim petition till the realization of the entire amount. The amount shall be apportioned between the claimant-appellant Nos.1 and 2 equally.

9. In view of the above discussion, the present appeal is allowed and the award passed by the Tribunal is modified accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

01.04.2024
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO