



CWP-17863-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CWP-17863-2024

Date of Decision : July 31, 2024

Baljinder Singh Gill**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Jatin Salwan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner competed for the post of Ward Attendant and keeping in view the criteria prescribed for selection, the petitioner has secured more marks than other selected candidates hence, he is entitled for selection to the post in question however, the said benefit of appointment has not been extended to him and that too without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, the petitioner has more marks than respondent No.4, the petitioner be appointed on the post of Ward Attendant w.e.f the date respondent No.4 has been appointed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State

and Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent

**CWP-17863-2024****2**

No.3.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has filed the present petition without raising any grievance before the authorities concerned and in case, the grievance raised in the present writ petition is raised before the authorities concerned by way of representation, the same will be considered in accordance with law and appropriate order on the said representation will be passed within a period of four weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 31, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No