



218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38702-2024

Date of Decision: 14.08.2024

Deepak @ Rahul

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Dhruv Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.1212 dated 28.10.2023 registered under Sections 307, 324, 506 of IPC, at Police Station HTM, Hisar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. Learned counsel further contends that in the present case, after the treatment, the injured has already been discharged and is hale and hearty. He further contends that even the medical record in the present case was manipulated. Further, the injured was taken to PGIMS, Rohtak, however, till date the record of PGIMS, Rohtak has not been received, which is evident from the document (Annexure P-5). Learned counsel further contends that even the ocular version is contrary to the medical evidence in the present case. He further contends that the petitioner is a young boy, aged about 20 years and is working as a labourer. Thus, his case deserves sympathetic

consideration by this Court. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 30.10.2023 and is in custody for the last more than 09 months. The prosecution has relied upon 13 witnesses, but no witness has been examined so far.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is in custody for the last more than 09 months. However, the trial is at the nascent stage and there are no chances of early conclusion of the trial.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

14.08.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No