



CRWP-7263-2024

-1-

**133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7263-2024

Date of Decision: 30.07.2024

Monika and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/police protection to them and also directing respondents No.4 and 5 not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made to direct respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondents No.4 and 5 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and life of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and they are living in live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 and 5. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 24.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf

of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, to decide the representation (Annexure P-8) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

7. Disposed of.

30.07.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No