



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.37073 of 2024
Date of decision: 24th October, 2024

Navjot Kaur
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Harmanjeet S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. N.S. Mahal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.16 dated 16.04.2024 under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Phool, District Bathinda.
2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 02.08.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is the sister-in-law of the daughter of the deceased. It is a matter of record that the

daughter of the deceased had moved out of her matrimonial home much prior to the suicide in question and hence, there was no question of the petitioner in any manner abetting the suicide of the deceased. It has also been brought to the notice of this Court that the husband of the petitioner, who too had been implicated in the instant case, has since been extended the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 03.07.2024.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 02.08.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmeet Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 02.08.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to her, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to her.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 24, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No